# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION

LEO A. DALY COMPANY

PLAINTIFF

V.

Civil No. 04-5250

HSG HOLDINGS, LLC

DEFENDANT

### J U D G M E N T

On the 15th day of August 2005, this matter came on for trial to a duly selected jury consisting of eight members. On August 19, 2005, Plaintiff's case was submitted to the jury as against Defendant HSG Holdings, LLC; and, Defendant's counterclaim was submitted to the jury as against Plaintiff / Counter Defendant Leo A. Daly Company. A unanimous decision was reached as to the following interrogatories:

# VERDICT FORM

#### I. TOWERS PROJECT

#### INTERROGATORY NO. 1

Do you find that a contract on the Towers project existed between Plaintiff Leo A. Daly Company (hereinafter "Daly") and Defendant HSG Holdings, LLC (hereinafter "HSG")?

Yes	X
Nο	

NOTE: Complete Interrogatory No. 2 only if you answered "yes" to Interrogatory No. 1. If you answered "no" to Interrogatory No. 1, skip Interrogatories No. 2-5 and answer Interrogatory No. 6.

#### INTERROGATORY NO. 2

W	ith	respe	ect t	0	the	Towers	pı	roject,	do	you	find	that	Daly
should	rec	cover	unde	r :	its	breach	of	contra	ct	claim	?		

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_X

NOTE: Complete Interrogatory No. 3 only if you answered "yes" to Interrogatory No. 2. If you answered "no" to Interrogatory No. 2, skip Interrogatory No. 3 and answer Interrogatory No. 4.

#### INTERROGATORY NO. 3

\_\_\_\_Please state the amount of any damages which you find from the preponderance of the evidence were sustained by Daly as a result of HSG's breach of the Towers contract:

\$

NOTE: If you enter an amount in Interrogatory No. 3, skip Interrogatories No. 4-7 and answer Interrogatory No. 8.

#### INTERROGATORY NO. 4

With respect to the Towers project, do you find that HSG should recover under its breach of contract claim?

Yes X

NOTE: Complete Interrogatory No. 5 only if you answered "yes" to Interrogatory No. 4. If you answered "no" to Interrogatory No. 4, skip Interrogatory No. 5-7 and answer Interrogatory No. 8.

INTERROGATORY	NO.	5
---------------	-----	---

the prepor	se state the amount of any damages which you find from nderance of the evidence were sustained by HSG as a Daly's breach of the Towers contract:
	<u>\$ 25,001</u>
NOTE:	If you enter an amount in Interrogatory No. 5, skip Interrogatories No. 6 and 7 and answer Interrogatory No. 8.

# 

With respect to the Towers project, do you find that Daly is entitled to recover against HSG under the theory of quantum meruit?

Yes		
No		

NOTE: Complete Interrogatory No. 7 only if you answered "yes" to Interrogatory No. 6. If you answered "no" to Interrogatory No. 6, skip Interrogatory No. 7 and answer Interrogatory No. 8.

#### INTERROGATORY NO. 7

With respect to the Towers project, please state the amount you find from the preponderance of the evidence Daly is entitled to recover from HSG under the theory of quantum meruit:

\$		

# II. LIFESTYLE RETAIL CENTER PROJECT

INTERROGA:	FORY NO. 8
project ex	ou find that a contract on the Lifestyle Retail Center sisted between Plaintiff Leo A. Daly Company (hereinafter and Defendant HSG Holdings, LLC (hereinafter "HSG")?
	Yes X
	No
NOTE:	Complete Interrogatory No. 9 only if you answered "yes" to Interrogatory No. 8. If you answered "no" to Interrogatory No. 8, skip Interrogatories No. 9-12 and answer Interrogatory No. 13.
INTERROGA:	TORY NO. 9
	respect to the Lifestyle Retail Center project, do you Daly should recover under its breach of contract claim?
	Yes
	No X
NOTE:	Complete Interrogatory No. 10 only if you answered "yes" to Interrogatory No. 9. If you answered "no" to Interrogatory No. 9, skip Interrogatory No. 10 and answer Interrogatory No. 11.
INTERROGA	TORY NO. 10
the prepo	se state the amount of any damages which you find from inderance of the evidence were sustained by Daly as a HSG's breach of the Lifestyle Retail Center contract:

NOTE: If you enter an amount in Interrogatory No. 10, do not answer any more Interrogatories, since your work will be complete. You should have your Foreperson sign and date the verdict form and return to the courtroom with your answer to the above Interrogatories.

#### INTERROGATORY NO. 11

With respect to the Lifestyle Retail Center project, do you find that HSG should recover under its breach of contract claim?

Yes X No

NOTE: Complete Interrogatory No. 12 only if you answered "yes" to Interrogatory No. 11. If you answered "no" to Interrogatory No. 11, skip Interrogatory No. 12 and answer Interrogatory No. 13.

# INTERROGATORY NO. 12

Please state the amount of any damages which you find from the preponderance of the evidence were sustained by HSG as a result of Daly's breach of the Lifestyle Retail Center contract:

\$ 52,462.00

NOTE: If you enter an amount in Interrogatory No. 12, do not answer any more Interrogatories, since your work will be complete. You should have your Foreperson sign and date the verdict form and return to the courtroom with your answer to the above Interrogatories.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13 \*\* Note: Complete Interrogatory No. 13 ONLY
if you answered "no" to Interrogatory No. 8 \*\*

	With	respe	ect	to	the	Life	estyle	Re	etail	Cer	nter	proje	ect,	do	you
find	that	Daly	is	ent	itle	d to	recove	er	agair	nst	HSG	under	the	the	eory
of q	uantu	m mer	uit	?											

Yes \_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_

NOTE:

Complete Interrogatory No. 14 only if you answered "yes" to Interrogatory No. 13. If you answered "no" to Interrogatory No. 13, do not answer any more Interrogatories, since your work will be complete. You should have your Foreperson sign and date the verdict form and return to the courtroom with your answer to the above Interrogatories.

#### INTERROGATORY NO. 14

\_\_\_\_With respect to the Lifestyle Retail Center project, please state the amount you find from the preponderance of the evidence Daly is entitled to recover from HSG under the theory of quantum meruit:

\$

- IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that, in accordance
  with the foregoing answers to such interrogatories, verdict shall
  be entered as follows:
- \* judgment shall be entered for defendant, HSG Holdings, LLC, and against plaintiff, Leo A. Daly Company, on the latter's complaint; plaintiff shall take nothing thereon; and the said complaint will be, and hereby is, dismissed with prejudice; and

\* judgment shall be entered for defendant, HSG Holdings, LLC, and against plaintiff, Leo A. Daly Company, on the former's counterclaim and defendant shall thereon have judgment against plaintiff in the sum of \$77,463.00, plus interest at the judgment rate of 3.88% per annum from the date hereof until paid, plus costs expended.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 29th day of August 2005.

/s/ Jimm Larry Hendren
JIMM LARRY HENDREN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE